

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O: Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/825,035 | 04/15/2004 | Devon L. Strawn | MSFT-3488/307555.01 | 74.12 |
| 41505 7590 07/30/2007 WOODCOCK WASHBURN LLP (MICROSOFT CORPORATION) CIRA CENTRE, 12TH FLOOR 2929 ARCH STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19104-2891 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | BROOME, SAID A | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | • | 2628 | • | |
| | | | <u> </u> | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | · | 07/30/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Applicant(s) | Applicant(s) | |
|---------------|-------------------------|--|
| STRAWN ET AL. | STRAWN ET AL. | |
| Art Unit | | |
| 2628 | | |
| _ | STRAWN ET AL. Art Unit | |

| | Said Broome | 2628 | | | | | |
|--|--|---|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>17 July 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP | LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL | LOWANCE. | | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complian- time periods: | wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c | idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date | e of the final rejection. | | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | g date of the final rejecti | on. | | | | |
| TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | | 196(-) and the annuari | to automaion for | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed. | ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | ns of the date of ne appeal. Since | | | | |
| AMENDMENTS | but prior to the data of filing a brief | will not be entered b | 000000 | | | | |
| | The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or | | | | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | corresponding number of finally rej | jected claims. | | | | | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)) | | | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co | ompliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). | | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the | | | | | | | |
| non-allowable claim(s). | | | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profile. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5 and 13-16</u> . | | III be entered and an o | explanation of | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> . | | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | ut before or on the date of filing a N nd sufficient reasons why the affida | lotice of Appeal will <u>n</u> vit or other evidence i | ot be entered s necessary and | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S | eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| ils to provide a 1). | | | | |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | on of the status of the claims after e | entry is below or attac | hed. | | | | |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered b See Continuation Sheet. | ut does NOT place the application i | n condition for allowa | nce because: | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | | | | | |
| 13. | | ULKA CHAUHAN ISORY PATENT EX | | | | | |
| | · <i>V</i> | ULKA CHAHLAN | | | | | |
| | SUPERV | ISORY PATENT EX | AMINER | | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant argues that the reference Skyrme is not a 35 U.S.C. 102(b) reference, however evidence has been provided which supports the 35 U.S.C. 102(b) date of the Skyrme reference, which can be found on page 4 of the "Graphics Software Archived Features for 2002". The amendment to claims 1 and 13 changes the scope of the claims and would require further search and consideration.